

Review Form for Essays

Reviewer:

Title of the essay:

Dear reviewer,

the editorial board of NORDEUROPAforum would like to thank you for your commitment, helping to secure the quality of manuscripts published in our journal. The most important criterion for the publication of an article is the active demonstration of scholarly ambitions. Hereby, the editors of NORDEUROPAforum understand the following:

- A clearly formulated research question is stated at the beginning of the text.
- The manuscript is a productive contribution to the current discourse.
- The manuscript has not been published elsewhere in this form.
- The formalities meet the requirements of scholarly work.
- Sources and references are correct and complete.
- The stylesheet of NORDEUROPAforum has been adequately taken into account.

Please keep in mind that NORDEUROPAforum is a scholarly journal with a wide range of topics. The concept of culture, to which we have committed ourselves, allows a high degree of interdisciplinarity. Therefore, we explicitly also publish manuscripts that serve the introduction to a topic, or an overview over a certain research area, provided that the mentioned criteria of scholarly work are respected.

We kindly ask you to fill out the following evaluation form and return it within two weeks to:

NORDEUROPAforum
Humboldt-Universität
Unter den Linden 6
10099 Berlin
Fax. +49 (30) 20 93 – 53 25
e-mail: cvd@nordeuropaforum.de
<http://www.nordeuropaforum.de>

A. Originality of the ideas and thesis presented in the essay

commentary: You have all the space you need!			
<input type="checkbox"/> excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> good	<input type="checkbox"/> satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> nothing new

B. Methodological stringency

commentary: You have all the space you need!				
<input type="checkbox"/> inventive	<input type="checkbox"/> convincing	<input type="checkbox"/> satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> poor	<input type="checkbox"/> inscrutable

C. Consistency of argumentation

commentary: You have all the space you need!				
<input type="checkbox"/> stringent without weaknesses	<input type="checkbox"/> good	<input type="checkbox"/> satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> poor	

D. Structure

commentary: You have all the space you need!				
<input type="checkbox"/> stringent without weaknesses	<input type="checkbox"/> good	<input type="checkbox"/> satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> poor	

E. Use of relevant previous research and sources

commentary: You have all the space you need!				
<input type="checkbox"/> confident and in extenso	<input type="checkbox"/> good	<input type="checkbox"/> satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> poor	

F. Impulses for further research

commentary: You have all the space you need!				
<input type="checkbox"/> inventive	<input type="checkbox"/> good	<input type="checkbox"/> satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> none	

G. The length of the essay is ...

commentary: You have all the space you need!			
<input type="checkbox"/> too long	<input type="checkbox"/> adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> too short	

H. Formalities (footnotes, bibliography)

commentary: You have all the space you need!				
<input type="checkbox"/> excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> good	<input type="checkbox"/> satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> poor	

I. Language and style

commentary: You have all the space you need!				
<input type="checkbox"/> excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> good	<input type="checkbox"/> satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> poor	

J. Quality of the summary

commentary: You have all the space you need!					
<input type="checkbox"/> excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> good	<input type="checkbox"/> revision required	<input type="checkbox"/> unacceptable	<input type="checkbox"/> summary is missing	

Overall assessment

commentary: You have all the space you need!			
<input type="checkbox"/> excellent, publish immediately	<input type="checkbox"/> good	<input type="checkbox"/> in need of revision	<input type="checkbox"/> reject

Thank you very much for your commitment!