

FOCUS MARKING IN LOCATIONAL CLAUSES AND PROGRESSIVE CONSTRUCTIONS IN KOYRABORO SENNI¹

Kirill Prokhorov
Humboldt University of Berlin

Workshop on information structure and verbal morphology in African languages. 19-20 October, 2012, Paris, LLACAN

0. Introduction

- in Koyraboro Senni (aka Songhay of Gao) locational verb *goo* 'be.in' (*šii~sii* in negative) exhibit features of an *in-focus* verb form
- *goo* is used in a number of progressive constructions that also show sensitivity to the scope of focus
- features of progressive constructions can be accounted for from basic features of *goo/šii* and focus-marking system of the language

+ Structure of the talk

- KS and its basic typological features
 - Focus marking in non-progressive verbal clauses
 - Focus marking in locational clauses
 - Progressive construction
 - Conclusion: inherently focus verbal categories (Hyman&Watters 1984, Güldemann 2003)
- + Data: (Heath 1999a,b; Prokhorov, f. n.)

1. Trivia

- > Name: Koyraboro Senni (KS), Songhay of Gao

- > Affiliation: Songhay < Nilo-Saharan (?)

- > Sociolinguistic parameters:
 - *number of L1 speakers*: up to 300000 (Ethnologue)

¹ This paper was done as a part of project B7 «Predicate-centered focus types: A sample-based typological study in African languages» of the Collaborative Research Centre 632 "Information structure" funded by the German Science Association (DFG). I would like to thank my KS language assistants Aliou Maïga, Abdramane Maïga and Mahamadou Maïga, as well as Ines Fiedler, Tom Güldemann, and Jeffrey Heath with whom I had a chance to discuss KS material.

- used as L2 in town of Gao by Tamashek and Fulfulde speakers

> Areal distribution: town of Gao (north-eastern Mali) and surrounding area

2. Clause structure

> Word order: S (AUX) (O) V (O)

+ **OV and VO verbs**: a lexicalized distinction between transitive verbs that take preverbal complements and those taking postverbal complements (cf. 1-9)

+ **TAM** categories are expressed by auxiliaries (AUX). KS has aspect-oriented system with basic distinction between the perfective and imperfective. The perfective intransitive is marked by zero in the AUX position (Cf. Mande).

Table1. Auxiliaries (weak series)

	perfective		imperfective	subjunctive
	Transitive	intransitive		
Positive	<i>na</i>	\emptyset	<i>ga</i>	<i>ma</i>
Negative	<i>mana</i>	<i>mana</i>	<i>si</i>	<i>ma si</i>

(1) Intransitive S (Aux) V Other

ay kaa nee.

1S.SBJ come here

I came here (Heath 1999a: 9)

(2) Intransitive S Aux V

ir mana koy.

1P.SBJ PFV.NEG go

We didn't go. (Heath 1999a: 9)

(3) Transitive S Aux O V other

ay ga a kar [i se].

1S.SBJ W.IPFV 3S.OBJ hit 3P DAT

I struck it for them. (Heath 1999a: 9)

(4) Transitive S Aux V O

a mana dii agey.
 3S.SBJ PFV.NEG see 1S.F
 He didn't see me. (Heath 1999a: 9)

+ There are three series of AUX's – 'weak', 'strong' and 'subject focus' series used in expression of marked information-structural configurations; subjunctive forms are presented in only one series

Table 2. Series of auxiliaries (without subjunctive forms)

	PFV		PFV.NEG	IPFV	IPFV.NEG
	transitive	intransitive			
Weak	<i>na</i>	\emptyset	<i>mana</i>	<i>ga</i>	<i>si</i>
Strong	<i>ŋka (nha²)</i>		<i>ŋka mana</i>	<i>mma~mba</i>	<i>ŋka si</i>
Subject focus	<i>(no) ka</i>		<i>(no) ka mana</i>	<i>no ma</i>	<i>(no) ka si</i>

2. Focus marking in verbal clauses

Relevant morphosyntactic features:

- position of focalized constituent in-situ/exatraclausal
- form of AUX (series)
- term-focus marker *no* optionally follows term under the scope of focus

> **Subject focus:** *in-situ*; SF series of AUX; use of *no* is obligatory in IPFV positive clauses, but optional everywhere else

(5) Subject focus, perfective positive

agey (no) ha koy.
 1S.F TF SF go

It was I [*focus*] who went. (Heath 1999a: 220)

(6) Subject focus, imperfective positive

*agey *(no) ma koy.*
 1S.F TF IPFV go

It's I [*focus*] who am going (will go). (Heath 1999a: 220)

² *nha* is a variant of *ŋka* before velar-initial verbs

> **Non-subject focus:** *ex-situ*, weak series of AUX's, term-focus marker *no* is optional after the focalized constituent

(7) Direct object focalization, OV verbs

agey no a n = ey kar.
 1S.F TF 3S.SBJ W.PFV.TR = 1S.OBJ hit
 It was ME that he hit. (Heath 1999a: 217)

(8) Dative argument focalized,

A: *may se no n na ay mot-oo noo.*
 who? DAT TF 2S.SBJ W.PFV.TR 1S.SBJ motorcycle-DEF.S give
 Who did you give my motorcycle to? (Prokhorov, f.n.)
 B: *Umu se ay n = a noo.*
 PN DAT 1S.SBJ PFV.TR = 3S.OBJ give
 I gave it to Oumou. (Prokhorov, f.n.)

> **Predicate-centered focus:** default word order, 'strong' AUX's, *no* doesn't occur

(9) SoA focus: alternative questions

[*ni mma koy*] [*wala ni mma čindi*]
 2S.SBJ ST.IPFV go or 2S.SBJ ST.IPFV remain
 Are you going or are you staying? (Heath 1999a: 225)

(10) SoA focus: answers

mm! a ŋka čiy-aa se dee.
 no 3S.SBJ ST call-3S.OBJ DAT EMPH3
 {The woman spoke with Amadou.} No. She just CALLED him. (Prokhorov, f.n.)

(11) TAM focus: alternative questions

A: *Fanta ŋka čorkos-a ŋaa wala a mba kaa ka a ŋaa.*
 PN ST lunch-3S eat or 3S.SBJ ST.IPFV come INF 3S.OBJ eat
 Has Fanta eaten her lunch or she is going to eat it? (Prokhorov, f.n.).
 B: *a mba kaa k = a ŋaa.*
 3S PF.IPFV come INF-3SG eat
 {xx4a} She WILL eat (it). (Prokhorov, f.n.).

(12) Truth value focus: imperfective negative

dee S hundey kaŋ goo Bamako
 EMPH3 PN EMPH REL be.in Bamako

ni ŋka si haya dey a se
 2S.SBJ ST IPFV.NEG thing buy 3S DAT

{A child has just listed several relatives to whom she planned to take gifts, but has omitted mention of S. Her father asks:} What about S, who is in Bamako? You won't buy anything for her? (Heath 1999a: 206)

4. Locative verb *goo/ šii* 'be in/at'

(13) *a goo no baa sōhoo da*
 3S.SBJ be.in LOC.ANA even now EMPH1

It is (still) there even now. (Heath 1999a: 181)

(14) *S yaa šii nee ra} dee,*
 PN EMPH2 be.in.NEG here LOC EMPH3,

a šii Bamakoo gund-oo ra} dee
 3S.SBJ be.in.NEG Bamako belly-DEF.S LOC] EMPH3

S is not here though, he is not inside Bamako (= city). (Heath 1999a: 181)

+ typical with locational adverbials as *nee* 'here' *noo~no* 'there (anaphoric)' etc.

> in-focus features

- truncated imperfective answers to yes/no questions

(15) *ay goo*
 1S.SBJ be.in
 {Do you V? /Are you V-ing} Yes, I do/am (Heath 1999a: 224)

(16) *ay šii*
 1S.SBJ be.in.NEG
 {Do you V? /Are you V-ing} No, I don't/ am not. (Heath 1999a: 224)

+ Perfective Negative construction SBJ+ PFV.NEG

(17) *ay mana*
 1S.SBJ PFV.NEG

(Did you V?) No, I didn't (ibid)

- no analogous perfective positive construction (Heath 1999a: 224)
- > replaced by verb *bara* 'exist, remain stay' in subject-focus construction

(18) Subject focus

*agey bara / *goo nee.*
 1SG.F exist be.in here
 It is I (*focus*) who am here. (Heath 1999a: 224)

(19) Subject focus, questions

*mey bara/ *goo nee ra?*
 who? exist be.in here LOC
 Who is here?' I(Heath 1999a: 224)

(20) *šii* 'be.NEG' in subject-focus construction

A (no) ka sii nee.
 PN TF SF be.NEG here
 It is A [focus] who is not here. (Heath 1994:)

+ *goo* is not replaced by *bara* in non-subject focus construction

(21) *man la a goo.*
 where LOC 3S.SBJ be
 'Where is she?'

Table.3 Distribution of *goo* 'be in', *šii* 'be.in.NEG' and *bara* 'exist, remain, stay'

	POS	NEG
Neutral declarative	<i>goo</i>	<i>šii</i>
Non-subject focus	<i>goo</i>	<i>šii</i>
Subject focus	<i>bara</i>	<i>šii</i>
Truth-value focus	<i>goo</i>	<i>šii</i>

+ *goo* and *sii* bear a striking resemblance to imperfective *ga* and *ši~si* AUX's ('weak' series) and are certainly equatable historically (Heath 1999: 182-183)

Table 4. Locational *goo/šiii* and imperfective AUX's

	IPFV	'be.in'	IPFV.NEG	be.in.NEG

Categorical, Non-subject focus	<i>ga</i>	<i>goo</i>	<i>ši~si</i>	<i>šii~sii</i>
Subject-focus	<i>ma</i>	<i>bara</i>	<i>ka ši</i>	<i>ka šii</i>

5. Progressive constructions

5.1 Inventory

A number of progressive constructions built using *goo/šii* and *bara* ‘exist’

Figure 1. Progressive construction templates

Template 1: [SBJ (AUX) *goo* *ga/ma* V]

Template 2: [SBJ (AUX) *goo/bara no*][*a* *ga* V]

Table 1.2 (1). List of attested progressive constructions

<i>form</i>	<i>where</i>
1 SBJ <i>goo ga</i> V	(Heath 1999a: 9); (Prokhorov f.n.)
2 SBJ <i>ga goo ga</i> V	(Prokhorov f. n.)
3 SBJ <i>ŋka goo ga</i> V	(Prokhorov f. n.)
4 SBJ <i>mma goo ga</i> V	(Prokhorov f. n.)
5 SBJ <i>goo ma</i> V	(Heath 1999a); (Prokhorov f. n.)
6 SBJ <i>ŋka goo ma</i> V	(Prokhorov f. n.)
9 [SBJ _i <i>bara</i>] [<i>a_i ga</i> V]	(Heath 1999a)
7 [SBJ _i <i>mma bara no</i>][<i>a_i ga</i> V]	(Prokhorov, f. n.)
8 [SBJ _i <i>goo no</i>][<i>a_i ga</i> V]	(Heath 1999a)

+ The list of progressive constructions may be a subject to dialectological variation

- 2, 8, and 9 described in Heath (1999a: 211) is not found in my data (not discussed in this paper)

- 7 absent in Heath’s data but abundantly present in mine

+ Weakly grammaticalized: (Heath 1999a: 211) “Unlike the English counterpart (*be reading*), which is effectively obligatory vis-à-vis the simple present (*reads*) in progressive contexts, in KS this is a marked construction of fairly low text frequency, like French *être en train de*”.

(22) Weak Imperfective for truth-value focus in progressive aspect

A: *woyoo* *goo* *ma* *wisi* *wala?*

woman-DEF.S be.in SUBJU whistle or
 {Hearing a woman whistling}Is the woman whistling?

B: *a ga wisi!*
 3S .IPFV whistle
 She IS whistling.

+ Functional overlaps between constructions (see 5.2)

+ Show sensibility to the scope of the focus (see. 5.2)

+ SBJ *goo ga* V as unmarked construction; used generally for categorical statements.

5.2 Progressive constructions in focus

5.2.2 Term focus

> Non-subject focus

- construction *goo ma* is used in non-subject focus contexts

(23) *mačín no Seydu goo ma a tee?*
 what? TF Seydou be.in SUBJU 3S do
 What is Seydu doing? (Prokhorov, f. n.)

(24) *goo ma* progressive for non-subject focus (why?)

A: *mačín se hug-oo goo ma ton?*
 what? DAT house-DEF.S be.in SUBJU burn
 Why is the house on fire? (Prokhorov, f. n.)

B: *woo ma ne maŋgor no a ga a dumba.*
 DEM.S SUBJU QUOT mango TF 3S W.IPFV 3S cut
 It seems he is cutting (of) mangoes.

- a simple imperfective clause can be also used in as non-subject focus progressive

> Subject focus:

Progressive constructions are not attested in subject focus imperfective of subject focus series

5.2.2 Predicate-centered focus

> SoA-focus

- *goo ga* for SoA focus

(25) *a goo ga a feferi.*
 3S.SBJ be.in W.IPFV 3S peel
 {What is he going to do with the orange?}He is peeling it.

- *goo ma* a for SoA focus

(26) *ar-oo goo ma don wala a mma šalaŋ?*
 man-DEF.S be.in SF.IPFV s whistle or 3S ST.IPFV speak
 {Hearing a male singing}. Is the man singing or speaking?

- *mma bara no a ga V* for SoA focus

(27) *kala, zaŋk-aa mma bara no a ga zuru!*
 no child-DEF.S ST.IPFV exist LOC.ANA 3S.SBJ W.IPFV run
 {Describing a picture with different participant in different activities, Speaker 1: The child is swimming. The woman is running. The man is walking.} Speaker 2: No, the child is RUNning. (Prokhorov f.n.)

- *mma goo ga* for SoA

(28)

A: *a ši ma dira, a mma bara no a ga zuru*
 3S.SBJ be.in.NEG SUBJU walk 3S.SBJ ST.IPFV exist LOC.ANA 3S W.IPFV run
 She is not walking, she is running.

B: *a mma goo ga zuru da!*
 3S.SBJ ST.IPFV be.in W.IPFV run exactly
 She is just RUNning.

- *nha goo ga* for state of affairs

(29)

A: *mačín no ar-oo ga kaa ka tee nda taabal-oo.*
 what? TF man-DEF.S W.IPFV come INF do with table-DEF.S
 What the man is going to do with the table?

B: *a nha goo g=a zaa.*
 3S.SBJ ST be IPFV=3S take
 He is TAKing it.

> **Operator focus**

+ TAM focus: *mma bara no a ga*

(30)

A: *i ηka haw-oo guna wala i mma bara no i*
 3P.SG PF.PFV cow-DEF.S look or 3P ST.IPFV exist LOC.ANA 3P
ga a guna?
 W.IPFV 3S look

{At a veterinary.} Have they already looked at the dog or are they still looking at it?

B: *i mma bara no i g=a guna.*
 3P.SBJ ST.IPFV exist LOC.ANA 3P IPFV = 3S look
 They are still looking.

> **Truth-value focus**

- *goo ga* for TV focus

(31) *a goo ga hēē bine!*
 3S.SBJ be.in W.IPFV cry EMPH
 {No, she is not crying!}She IS crying (indeed)!

- *ηka goo ga* progressive for TV focus

(32)
 A: *ar-oo mma bara no a ga taabal-oo zaa wala?*
 man-DEF.S ST.IPFV exist LOC.ANA 3S W.IPFV table-DEF.S take or
 Is the man taking the table?
 B: *ayyo, a ηka goo g=a zaa.*
 yes 3S PF.PFV be IPFV-3S take
 Yes, he is taking it.

2.4 Summary

Table 4. Focus marking in the progressive

		Unmarked categorical	Term focus	Predicate-centered focus		
			Non-subject	SoA	TAM	Truth-value
1	SBJ <i>goo ga V</i>	+				+
3	SBJ <i>ηka goo ga V</i>			+		
4	SBJ <i>mma goo ga V</i>			+		
5	SBJ <i>goo ma V</i>		+			
6	SBJ <i>ηka goo ma V</i>			+		
7	[SBJ _i <i>mma bara no</i>][a _i			+	+	

	<i>ga V</i>					
--	-------------	--	--	--	--	--

+ 1-4 [Template1]: unmarked construction is *goo ga*; adding a strong AUX (*ηka/mma*) induces SoA reading

Table 5. Progressive vs. Imperfective

	Categorical	SoA focus
Progressive	<i>goo ga V</i>	<i>nha/mma goo ga V</i>
Imperfective	<i>ga V</i>	<i>mma V</i>

- *ηka (nha)* and *mma* are synonymous in progressive constructions

+ 5-6 [Template 1]: *goo ma* is used for non-subject focus; adding *ηka* induces SoA focus

Table 6. Progressive vs. Perfective

	Categorical	SoA focus
Progressive	<i>goo ma V</i>	<i>ηka goo ma V</i>
Perfective	$\emptyset V$	<i>ηka V</i>

+ 7 [Template 2]; (*goo ga V* progressive has inherent focus on truth-value), out-focus *bara* substitutes *in-focus goo* and occurs in separate clause thus excluding the truth-value from the potential focus domain

Figure. 2 PCF marking in progressive constructions (potential focus domain underlined)

1 [SBJ *goo* *ga V*] truth-value focus

7 [SBJ *bara no*][*ga* *V*] SoA or TAM

Abbreviations

Glosses:

Arabic numerals indicate a noun class or, when immediately followed by a gloss for gender and/or number, a person category.

ADV	Adverb	P	Plural
ANA	Anaphoric	PERF	Perfect
CAUS	Causative	PF	Predicate centered focus
COM	Comitative	PFV	Perfective
COP	Copula(tive)	PN	Proper name
DAT	Dative	POSS	Possessive
DEF	Definite	PRES	Presentative
DEM	Demonstrative	Q	Quotative
EMPH	Emphatic	REFL	Reflexive
EXCL	Exclamation	REL	Relative
F	Full series of pronoun	S	Singular
IMP	Imperative	SBJ	Subject
INF	Infinitive	SUBJ	Subjunctive
INSTR	Instrumental	TF	Term focus
IPFV	Imperfective	TOP	Topic
NEG	Negative	TR	Transitive
NOML	Nominalization	V	Verb or vowel
OBJ	(Direct) object	VN	Verbal noun

References:

ibid.	Ibidem	Heath, Jeffrey. 1998b. <i>Texts in Koyraboro Senni</i> – Köln : Köppe Verlag.
FN	Footnote	Heath, Jeffrey. 1999a. <i>A Grammar of Koyraboro (Koroboro) Senni: the Songhay of Gao, Mali</i> – Köln : Köppe Verlag.
f.n.	Field notes	Hyman, Larry and John Watters. 1984. Auxiliary focus. <i>Studies in African Linguistics</i> 15(3): 233-273.
ms.	Manuscript	
p.c.	Personal communi- c	

References

Güldemann, Tom. 2003d. Present progressive vis-à-vis predication focus in Bantu: a verbal category between semantics and pragmatics. *Studies in Language* 27,2: 323-360.

Heath, Jeffrey. 1998a. *Dictionnaire Songhay-Anglais-Français*. Paris: l'Harmattan. Vol. 1: Koyra Chiini, pp. 264. Vol. 2: Djenne Chiini, pp. 202. vol. 3: Koroboro Senni, pp. 344.

