

Overview

—I. Predicate focus constructions

- A. *Tw-t pw ir-n=f*
- B. The “complementary infinitive” construction

—II. Thetic constructions and constructions with thetic effects

- C. Subjectless passives
- D. *Pw*-marked thetic constructions: *N pw hr-sdm*
- E. *Tw*-less constructions:
 - E.1 *Tw*-less constructions of subject-first patterns
 - E.2 *Ti ntr* ‘Here comes the god’

Background

Earlier Egyptian (Afroasiatic):

- Old Egyptian: ca. 2700-2100 BCE
- Middle Egyptian: ca. 2100-1300 BCE

- VSO (mostly); rigid word-order

Constituent focus constructions in Earlier Egyptian

(a) *Active subjects*

<i>in N ~ ink/ntk/...</i>	unmarked participle _{no_AGRM}	(past)
	marked/imperfective participle _{no_AGRM}	(present)
	prospective <i>sdm=f</i>	(future)

- NB. *In*: also e.g. to introduce the full noun Agent of a passive and after the infinitive; as a quotative index; to mark sentence questions; and in a variety of other, less common constructions — originally a quotative/presentative index

- also *N PART* (without *in*) with participants of high discourse prominence (e.g. the speaker in a letter; the deceased in funerary/mortuary corpuses)

- in early times, also with agreement on the participle

(On the Earlier Egyptian cleft construction:

Doret, Éric. 1991. Cleft-sentence, substitutions et contraintes sémantiques en Égyptien de la première phase (V–XVIII Dynastie), in: *Lingua Aegyptia* 1, 57-96

— . 1989, 1990, 1992. Phrase nominale, identité et substitution dans les Textes des Sarcophages, in: *Revue d'Égyptologie* 40, 49-63; 41, 39-56; 43, 49-73.

Vernus, Pascal. 1991. Le rhème marqué : typologie des emplois et effets de sens en moyen égyptien, in: *Lingua Aegyptia* 1, 333-355.

Loprieno, Antonio. 1995. *Ancient Egyptian. A Linguistic Introduction*, Cambridge, 114-118.)

(On *in*:

Reintges, Chris. 1998. Mapping Information Structure to Syntactic Structure: One syntax for *jn*, in: *Revue d'Égyptologie* 49, 196-220.

Güldemann, Tom. In press. How Typology Can Inform Philology: Quotative *jn* in Earlier Egyptian, in: Sebastian Richter, Eitan Grossman & Martin Haspelmath (eds), *Linguistic Typology and Egyptian-Coptic Linguistics*, Empirical Approaches to Language Typology, Berlin.

Stauder, Andréas. 2014. *The Earlier Egyptian Passive: Voice and Perspective*, *Lingua Aegyptia Studia Monographica* 14, Hamburg, 79-83, 95-108.)

(b) *Other nominal constituents, particularly direct objects and passive subjects*

- Mainly: ‘Pseudo-cleft’ construction (with agreement)

NP	<i>pw</i>	REL _{AGRM} (relative form, relative clause, passive participle)
Focus		Presupposition

Based on the classifying pattern: ‘B is an A’

A	<i>pw</i>	B
Predicate	Copula	Subject

- Various other patterns

(Peust, Carsten. 2008. Wie fokussiert man im Ägyptischen ein direktes Objekt?, in: *Zeitschrift für Ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde* 135, 78-87.)

(c) *Adverbial constituents*

- The so-called ‘emphatic’ construction

- no initial *iw* (only a handful exceptions)

- contrasts in verbal morphology

Unaccomplished

(all events)	<i>mrr=f</i>	contrasting with $N(P)$ <i>mr=f</i>
--------------	--------------	-------------------------------------

Accomplished

non subj.-affecting act.	—[no contrast]—	
--------------------------	-----------------	--

subj.-affecting intr.	<i>ii.n=f</i>	(as contrasting with NP_S RES)
-----------------------	---------------	----------------------------------

passive: EEg. I, P	<i>V-pass P</i>	(as contrasting with P_O RES)
--------------------	-----------------	---------------------------------

N	—[no contrast]—	
---	-----------------	--

(/rarely, *sdm.n.t non-P* as contrasting with *V-pass non-P*)

EEg. II	<i>sdm.n.t=f</i>	(as contrasting with P_O RES ~ <i>V-pass non-P</i>)
---------	------------------	---

(Thus e.g., in the accomplished in EEg. II:

P_O RES	<i>sdm.n.t P</i>
-----------	------------------

<i>V-pass non-P</i>	<i>sdm.n.t N</i>
---------------------	------------------

—the *sdm.n.t=f* contrasting with both the Resultative and the perfective V-passive to express that an accomplished passive event, even though passive, is not perfective (that is, is not oriented on its Endpoint.)

- with negative events: *is*

- Complex functional profile:

- In general: Perspective on the event as it relates to the circumstances of its unfolding (rather than on the nexus between the subject and the event)

- *Not* a narrow focus construction per se:

- The narrow focus reading (with a backgrounding of the verbal event) is not uncommon in text, particularly in the unaccomplished, and in negative constructions; it is less common with positive accomplished events. In all cases, it is a contextual interpretation (possibly with additional stress in the spoken chain??).

- More generally, functional profile of the construction is variable depending on aspect, polarity, event-semantics, and voice.

- Also as a strategy of inter-clausal integration (particularly in the accomplished, when the perspective is on the event as it relates to another event expressed in an adjunctal clause of some sort)

(Among a great many discussions:

Stauder, Andréas. 2014. *The Earlier Egyptian Passive: Voice and Perspective*, *Lingua Aegyptia Studia Monographica* 14, Hamburg, 223-347.

Uljas, Sami. 2007. *The Modal System of Earlier Egyptian Complement Clauses. A Study in Pragmatics in a Dead Language*, *Probleme der Ägyptologie* 26, Leiden, 347-359.

Vernus, Pascal. 1991. Le rhème marqué : typologie des emplois et effets de sens en moyen égyptien, in: *Lingua Aegyptia* 1, 333-355.

I. PREDICATE FOCUS CONSTRUCTIONS

—A. *iw-t pw ir-n=f*

Morphosyntax

iw-t(come-INF) *pw*(COP) *ir-n=f*(do(REL)-ANT=3MSG)

Cf. the ‘pseudo-cleft’ (above, b)

NP	<i>pw</i>	REL _{AGRM}
Focus		Presupposition

Events

- Distinguished associated with events of directed motion:

iwi ‘come’, *ʕk* ‘go in, enter’, *pri* ‘go out, come forth’, *spr r* ‘reach’, *š3s* ‘go, walk’, *wḏ3* ‘proceed’, *h3i* ‘go down, descend’

- Uncommonly with other intransitives:

gr ‘be silent’ (*Eloquent Peasant* B1 80-81), *sndm* ‘relax’ (*Urk.* IV 1542, 12)

- Also once with a secondary intransitives (O-detransitivized transitives)

objectless *msi* ‘give birth’ (*Hammamat* 110, 5)

Written registers / Types of written discourses

- First documented in early Middle Kingdom expedition accounts from the Wadi Hammamat (from ca. 2050 BCE on)
 - (developing alongside other narrative constructions, e.g. $\text{ḥ}^{\text{c}}.n \text{sdm}.n=f$; ‘narrative’ construction of the infinitive; broader use of $wn.in=f \text{ḥr sdm}$)
- Then in Middle Kingdom and later literature (from ca. 1950 BCE on, e.g. *Sinuhe*; *Eloquent Peasant*)
- In the ‘Royal Tale’ (/ ‘Königsnovelle’: a type of written discourse, or ‘Sprechsituation’, or ‘generative matrix’ in which the king and his courtiers dialogue with one another, and the king eventually makes a decision) (from ca. 1700 BCE on, first in Neferhotep’s *Great Abydos Stela* 6-7)
- In other types of written discourses that make a generic reference to the ‘Royal Tale’:
 - in Middle Egyptian literature (e.g. *Neferti*; *Cheops’ Court*)
 - in New Kingdom royal inscriptions

Thus:

- A narrative construction;
- A construction limited to certain types of written discourse, arising and developing in relation to broader developments in written textuality;
- In combination with other narrative constructions, a linguistic index of a certain type of written discourse (‘Royal Tale’); more generally, a construction that is generically bound.

In text

- Sequence-initial / discontinuative: resuming the narrative after direct speech (1-2) or introducing a new stage in the a protagonist’s progress (3)

- (1) Neferhotep’s *Great Abydos Stela* 6-7 (royal inscription, ‘Royal Tale’, ca. 1700 BCE)
(‘“(…) May Your Majesty proceed to the temples of the writings. May Your majesty see all the godly words.’

$w\dot{d}3$ pw $\dot{i}r-n$ $\dot{h}m=f$ r pr $m\dot{d}3t$
proceed\INF COP do(REL)-ANT Majesty=3MSG to house roll

$wn.in \dot{h}m=f \dot{h}r pg3$ $s\dot{s}w \dot{h}n^{\text{c}} nn n smrw$
 $\text{ḥ}^{\text{c}}.n gm.n \dot{h}m=f$ $s\dot{s}w n pr wsir \dot{h}nt-imntiw$

‘His Majesty proceeded to the house of rolls.’

And His Majesty opened the writings with these courtiers.

Then his Majesty found the writings of the temple of Osiris foremost of the Westerners.’

- (2) *Eloquent Peasant* 80-81 (literary, ca. 1850 BCE; transl. R.B.Parkinson)

(‘And they said to him “Surely it’s only a peasant of his who’s come away to someone else beside him. Look, this is what they do to their peasants who’ve run off to others. Look, this is what they do. This is a case to punish this Nemtinakht for a little natron and a little salt? Order him to repay it, and he’ll repay it.” ’)

gr pw ir-n imi-r3 pr-wr s3-mrw rnsi
 be_silent\INF COP do(REL)-ANT High_steward Meru’s son Rensi
 ‘The High steward Meru’s son Rensi *was silent*.

(He did not answer these officials, or this peasant. And this peasant came to petition the High steward, Meru’s son Rensi and said (...))’

- (3) *Sinuhe* B 241-242 (literary, ca. 1900 BCE)

(‘I was allowed to spend a day in Iaa (*viz.* a fictional landscape outside Egypt) handing over my property to my children; my eldest son was in charge of my clan, and all my property was his, my servants, all my cattle, my fruit and all my orchard trees.

iw-t pw ir-n b3k im m hntyt
 come-INF COP do(REL)-ANT servant this in travel_southwards
 ‘*This humble servant* (*viz.* the speaker, Sinuhe) *came southwards*

(and I halted at the Ways of Horus (*viz.* the border to Egypt). The commander there who was in charge of the patrol sent a message to the Residence (*viz.* the Egyptian royal capital) to inform them.’

- Sim., after fronted temporal expressions:

- (4) *Cheops’ Court* 3.10-11 (literary; ca. 1700-1500 BCE)

hr m-ht mšrw hpr
iw-t pw ir.n p3 nds (...)

‘Now, after the evening had set,
the commoner came (...)’

Sim. e.g. 2.15-16 [*hr m-ht*] *t3 hđ 2 [n] h[rww hpr š3]s pw [ir.n p3 hr-pr]*
 (...) ‘Now, after the land had brightened and two days had passed, *the steward went (...)*’.

- After similar fronted temporal expressions, contrast with other narrative constructions used with other event types:

Tale of P. Lythgoe ro x+7-8
hr m-ht m[š]rw hpr ḥḥ.n rd.n=f [...]
 ‘Now, after the evening had set, *he gave [...]*’

Sim e.g. *Cheops’ Court* 12.8-9 *hr m-ht hrww sw3 hr nn ḥḥ.n šnt^{sic}*
rwd-ddt ht (...) ‘Now, after days had passed on this, *Ruddjedet*
quarrelled (...)’

- Sim., with *INF pw ir-n=f* in sequence

(5) *Neferti* 1a-e (literary, ca. 1500 BCE (?); the beginning of the composition)

hpr.n swt wnn hm n nsw bity snfrw m3^c-hrw m nsw mnḥ m t3 pn r-dr=f
w^c m nn n hrw hpr
ḥ^k pw ir-n knbt nt hnw r pr-^c3 ḥ.w.s. r nd-hrt
p^rt pw ir-n=sn nd<.n>=sn hrt mi nt-^cw=sn nt r^c nb

‘It occurred, then, that the Majesty of the King of Upper and Lower Egypt Snefru, justified, was an efficient king in this entire land.

One of these days,

the *Council of the Residence* entered the Palace L.P.H. to pay their respects;

They went out having paid their respects according to their daily custom.’

Sim. Thutmosis IV’s *Sphinx Stela* 8 (*Urk.* IV 1542, 10-12; ca. 1400 BCE)

w^c m nn n hrw hpr
iw^t pw ir-n s3 nsw dhwti-ms hr swtwt hr tr n mtrt
snḏm pw ir-n=f n^{sic} šwt nt ntr pn ḥ3

‘One of these days,

the royal son Thutmosis went out strolling at the time of noon;

He relaxed in the shadow of this great god (*viz.* the sphinx in Giza).’

- With stronger thetic force, in the passive:

(6) *Sinuhe* B 236 (the speaker reacting enthusiastically to the king’s letter)

iw-t pw ir-y r b3k im
come-INF COP do-PASS.PERF to servant this

‘There has been coming to this servant (*viz.* the speaker)!’

(May your Majesty does as she desires: men live on the breath you give (...))

Digression: a very brief note on the ‘narrative’ use of the infinitive

- In isolated annalistic notations: outside continuous discourse since Dynasty 1 (ca. 3000 BCE), e.g.

sḥr (strike\INF) *iwntiw* ‘Striking the Asiatics.’

ms (fashion\INF) *skr* ‘Fashioning (the statue of) Sokar.’

- Heading a narrative sequence (in expedition accounts, from the First Intermediate Period on, then in related types of written discourse), e.g.:

DATE — INF — finite forms

- In sequence: in expedition accounts and in annals (from the early Middle Kingdom on)

INF – INF – INF – INF (...)

- On a par with narrative constructions, including after fronted temporal expressions (innovation of the written language of the Eighteenth Dynasty)

- (7) Hammamat 110, 1-9 (expedition account, ca. 2050 BCE)

nsw-bity nb-t3wy-r^c nḥ dt
bi3t tn ḥprt n ḥm=f

ḥ3t(INF) *n=f in^c wt ḥ3swt*

iwt(INF) **in** *ghst bk3t ḥr šmt ḥr=s r rmtw ḥft-ḥr=s*
iw irty=s ḥr m3 (...)

mst pw ir-n=s *ḥr=f*

iw mš^c pn n nsw ḥr m33

ḥ^c-n š^cw nḥbt=s wdn.t ḥr=f m sbi n sdt

ḥ3t pw ir-n=f *m ḥtp*

ist grt in ḥm n ntr pn špss (...)

‘The King of Upper and Lower Egypt Nebtauire living forever—

This wonder that happened to His Majesty—

The coming of wild game down to him.

The coming of a pregnant gazelle walking with her face toward the people in front of her.

Her eyes were looking (...)

She gave birth upon it (*viz.* the stone).

The troops of the king were looking.

And her neck was cut and placed upon it (*viz.* the stone) as a burnt-offering.

It (*viz.* the stone) came down (*viz.* to the Nile Valley) in peace

It was the Majesty of this august god who (...)

- (8) *Sinuhe* B 109-110

iwt(INF) *nḥt n tnw*

mt3=f wi m im3=i

‘Coming of the strongman of (Re)tenu,
 provoking me in my tent.’

Introducing a new episode, and a turning point, in the narrative. Contrast with

Sinuhe B 241-242 (above, (3))

iw-t pw ir-n *b3k im m ḥntyt (...)*

‘This humble servant (*viz.* the speaker, *Sinuhe*) came southwards (...),
 introducing a new stage in the progress.

———B. The “Complementary infinitive” construction

(Vernus, Pascal. 2001. Le nom d’action et étymologique comme modificateur du verbe: une construction égyptienne proche du *maf’ūl muḥlaq*, in: Josep Cervelló Autuori & Alberto J. Quevedo Álvarez (eds), *Ir a buscar leña. Estudios dedicados al Profesor Jesús López*, Aula Aegyptiaca Studia 2, Barcelona, 193-202.)

(For the Coptic construction, Shisha-Halevy, Ariel. 1990. The “Tautological Infinitive” in Coptic: A structural examination, in: *Journal of Coptic Studies* 1, 99-127.)

Morphosyntax and diachronics

- *-t* in all inflectional classes; a deverbal noun of some sort (distinct from the infinitive)
- not filling a nominal slot (of subject or direct object) —> an ‘adverbial’ expansion
- diachronics:

> (i) directly after the V, before full noun subject:

(9) Pyr. 1272a-b^P (PT 534) (ca. 2250 BCE)

iw iw-t 3st m iw-t=s itw dwt (...)
 come\IPFV come-COMPL_INF Isis in coming=3FSG DEM bad
m wn=k ʕwy=k n=s
 NEG_IMPR open=2MSG arms=2MSG to=3FSG

‘Should Isis come a coming in that bad coming of hers—

Do not open your arms to her!

(but let there be said to her her identity of Putrid Crotch: “Barred! Go the the houses of Manu! Enough! Go to Hedjbet, to where you will be beaten”

Should Nephthys come a coming in that bad coming of hers—(...)

Should those of the nighttime and those of old age come a coming in that bad coming of theirs—(...)

Should Pepi and his ka come a coming—open the doors of his gods (...)

> (ii) after the full noun subject, in the ‘adverbial’ tail of the clause

(10) Pyr. 375a-b^{WAnNt} (PT 268)

mnḥ wnis pn mnḥ-t n z3 ʕ=f
 efficient Wnis DEM efficient-COMPL_INF NEG go_off arm=3MSG
ḥnt wnis pn ḥnt-t spr k3=f r=f
 foremost Wnis DEM foremost-COMPL_INF reach ka=3MSG to=3MSG

‘This Wnis will become truly efficient — his arm will not go off.

This Wnis will become truly foremost — his *ka* will reach him.’

> (iii), in the same position, with the ‘complementary infinitive’ substituted with an adverbial phrase *m INF* (‘in a X-ing’)

(11) P. Ebers 49.22 (medical; ca. 1500 BCE)

ʕm=f st m ʕm
 swallow=3MSG 3FSG in swallow\INF
 ‘May he swallow it in a swallowing.’

Event types

- mostly with intransitives, e.g.

(12) CT IV 180f G1T (spell 334)

ḥnh=i ḥnh-t

live=1SG live-COMPL_INF

wnn=i wnn-t

exist=1SG exist-COMPL_ING

‘As I will truly live, (likewise) I will truly exist.’

- also with secondary intransitives (O-detransitivized events)

(13) James 1962, I vso 16, 30 (early Middle Kingdom letter; Vernus 2001: 196, ex.9)

mḥ tw mḥ-t

fill\IMPR 2MSG fill-COMPL_INF

‘Fill, you, a filling! (viz. Do your job!)’

- with transitives, only in the passive, e.g.:

(14) *Urk.* I 73, 2 (Djenwen; ca. 2500 BCE)

mk-t mk-t ht zbt n k3=s

protect\SUBJ-PASS protect-COMPL_INF property gone to ka=3FSG

‘May the property of the one who has gone to her ka be well protected!’

(15) *Pyr.* 360a^P (PT 266)

d3-t pipi pn im d3-wt ir 3ht hr hr 3hti

ferry\SUBJ-PASS Pepi DEM there ferry-COMPL_INF to horizon (...)

‘May this N there be ferried across in a ferrying to the horizon to Horus-of-the-horizon.’

Constructions

> (a) *In complement clauses:*

- subjunctive after verbs of ordering, etc.;

(16) CT I 287a-b Sq3C (spell 67)

n rd=i mwt=t mwt-t

NEG give\ANT=1SG die\SUBJ=2FSG die-COMPL_INF

‘I have not caused you to die a (true) death.’

- *mrr=f* (roughly: imperfective) after verb of perception

(17) *Cheops' Court* 5.3-4

ib n hm=k r-kbb
heart of Majesty=2MSG FUT-cool
n m33 hn~n=sn hn-t m-ḥd m-ḥnt
on see\INF row~IPFV=3PL row-COMPL_INF up and down
'Your Majesty's heart will be cool
at seeing how they row a rowing trip up and down!'

> (b) *In main clauses, with modal force:*

in the imperative (above, (13)), in the subjunctive (above, (14)), and in the prospective (below, (29)).

> (c) *In main clauses, otherwise in the 'emphatic' and related constructions (always?)*

- in the 'emphatic' construction:

(18) Pyr. 1163c^{PN} (PT 512)

rm~m=i rm-yt it=i ih
weep~IPFV=1SG weep-COMPL_INF father=1SG Ah!
'I weep a weeping, my father: "Ah!"'

- in the setting construction: above, (9)

- in the balanced pattern ('Wechselsatz'): above, (12)

> (d) *In the negative 'emphatic' construction, with focusing is:*

(19) CT I 344/5c S1C and mult. mss.

n ms-n-t=i is ms-yt
NEG give_birth-ANT-PASS=1SG FOC give_birth-COMPL_INF
'I was not born through birth.'

V-passives in G1T *n msy=i is msyt* (sim. A1C; M4C); also *n ms.t=i* (...) (S2C, S14C, T3C, BH2C); further CT II 3g B1C, B2L, B1P *n iwr=i is iwrt* 'I was not conceived through a conception'; B1Bo *n ms=f is m msyt*; G1T *n msw=i is msyt*.

II. THETIC CONSTRUCTIONS AND CONSTRUCTIONS WITH THETIC EFFECTS

—C. Passives from intransitives

(not a thetic construction, but often: perspective set on the event itself, thus ‘thetic-like’)

(Stauder, Andréas. 2014. *The Earlier Egyptian Passive: Voice and Perspective*, *Lingua Aegyptia Studia Monographica* 14, Hamburg, 158-173

Vernus, Pascal. In press. La non représentation segmentale du (premier) participant direct (‘sujet’) et la notion de \emptyset , in: Eitan Grossman, Stéphane Polis, Andréas Stauder & Jean Winand (eds), *New Directions in Egyptian Syntax. Proceedings* (provisional title), *Lingua Aegyptia Studia Monographica*, Hamburg, §12.)

NB: Various discourse functions of EEG. passives from intransitives

- Agent-backgrounding:

(20) Heqanakht II, ro 27-28

mtn š3ʿ-w m wnm rmt ʿ3

Look begin-PASS.PFV in eat\INF people here

‘Look, one has begun to eat people here!’

- Discourse-continuity, on oblique and/or on unexpressed Agent

(21) *Semna Stela*, Year 16 (Berlin 1157), 13-15

iw m3-n stj hm=i nn iw-ms (A_i > O_j)

h3k-n=i hmwt=snj in-n=i hrw=snj

pr r hnmwt=snj hw k3w=snj (OBL_j, [A_i] – O_j, [A_i])

wh3 it=snj rd ht imj (O_j, [A_i] – OBL_j, [A_i])

‘My Majesty has seen it—no untruth—

I have captured their wives, I have brought their dependents;

Their wells have been gone to, their cattle has been stricken,
 their wheat has been pulled out, it has been set on fire.’

The perspective on the event itself

(> The oblique phrase is anaphorically linked to the preceding segment of discourse:

(22) Mo^oalla II.η.2 (Inscription #7)

n pr.n.t n snd=f

NEG go_out-ANT-PASS for fear=3MSG

‘There was no going out for fear of them (*scil.* the troops).’)

> The oblique phrase is not anaphorically linked, nor does it include a topical participant:

(cf. *bibitur estur quasi in propina* ‘There is drinking and eating like in a tavern’ (Plautus, *Poenulus* 635))

- part of the argument structure of the verb:

(23) *Ipuwer* 12.8

ᵚᵏ r ḥwt-ntr [...]

enter\PASS.PFV to temple

‘There has been entering the temple [...].’

(24) *Ipuwer* 6.3

(‘But now, barley has perished on every road:)

šḥ3-w *m ḥbsw*

strip_bare-PASS.PFV of clothes

ḥs3 *m mrḥt*

anoint\PASS.PFV of oil

There has been stripping people of their clothes,

There has been making them unanointed with oil.’

- not part of the argument structure of the verb:

(25) Pyr. 179a^{WNNt} (PT 219)

(‘Nut, this one here is your son of whom you have said☺

ms-n-t *n(=i)*

give_birth-ANT-PASS to(=1SG)

i.t tn

‘‘There was birth for me’’

—so you said.’

> The clause does not include an oblique phrase

(NB: not licensed in some languages, e.g. Classical Arabic *julisa fi-l-xadiiqati* ‘there was sitting in the garden’, but **julisa* ‘there was sitting’)

(26) *Debate of a Man and His Soul* 111-113

(‘To whom can I speak today?)

iw ḥᵚḏ3-tw

AUX plunder\PRS-PASS

There is plundering,

(everyone is robbing their brothers.’)

(27) CT II 166k-167b B2L

‘The gods speak of this(?) great eye of Horus:

smn **iry**
 establish\PASS.PFV make\PASS.PFV
iw htp ø hr=s
 AUX be_content about=3FSG
 There has been establishing,
 there has been creating—
 One is content about it.’

(28) *Ipuwer* 2.4

(‘But now, wives are barren:

n iw[r]-n-tw
 NEG conceive-ANT-PASS
 There is no conceiving.’

> With the “complementary infinitive” construction (above, B):

(29) Pyr. 1905c^{Nt} (PT 665A)

(‘The earth will tremble for you,
 an offering will be laid down for you,)

rw-w **n=k** **rw-wt**
 dance-PASS.FUT for=2MSG dance-COMPL_INF
 there will be danced a dance for you.’

> Also with an expression of the Agent:

(30) Qaw Bowl, inside, 4

in ir~r-t **r=i** **r-gs=k**
 INTR do~IPFV-PASS against=1SG next_to=2MSG
n ir-t-n ddt-n s3=k im
 NEG do\REL-FEM-ANT say\REL-FEM-ANT son=2MSG there
in sn(=i) **krs-n(=i)-sw**
 by brother(=1SG) bury-ANT(=1SG)-3MSG
 ‘Is there acting against me next to you
 —even though there is nothing that your son did or said—
 by my brother, whom I buried?’

(In setting the perspective on the event itself and in introducing the Agent only in the rhematic tail of the sentence, the passive construction contributes to underscoring the paradox of the situation that is complained about.)

In text

> Discourse-discontinuative in narrative:

(31) *Sinuhe* R 22-24

(‘(...) He did not delay at all.)

ist h3b r msw-nsw wnw m-ht=f m mš^c pn
nīs-n-tw n w^c im

Now, there had been sending to the royal children who were after him in this expedition,
 and only one of them was summoned.’

(32) *Sinuhe* B 246-249

(‘I took to set sail, with kneading and brewing accompanying me until I reached the harbor of Itju.)

hd.n rf t3 dw3 sp 2
iw iw i3š n=i
 AUX come\PASS.PFV call\PASS.PFV to=1SG

When it had dawned very early in the morning,
 one came and summoned me—
 (ten men coming, ten men going, introducing me to the palace.
 I touched the ground (...)’)

(On *Sinuhe*’s way home, his first encounter with Egyptians)

> Common in administrative language: events en bloc, cf. format of listing

(33) *Stèle Juridique* 18-19

h^c.n mdw r=s m h3 n whm n w^crt mht (...)
ḥḳ r=s m mitt hn^c dd (...)
wšd r-dd (...)

‘There was discussion about it in the office of the herald of the northern district (...).

There was acquiescing to it likewise, and saying: (...)

There was questioning in these terms: (...)’

Sim. e.g. P. UC 32166, ro II.3 *hr hr=s ḥḳ hr=s* ‘There was agreement about it. There was swearing about it.’ With secondary intransitives, e.g. *Urk.* I 4, 15-16 (Metjen) *iw zh im r ḥ-nsw rn=sn r=s r ḥ-nsw* ‘There was production of a piece of writing according to a royal document, their names (*scil.* of the “foundations of Metjen”) being in the royal document.’ Sabni son of Mekhu 11 *dd r wd pn* (...) ‘There was said in this decree: (...)’; P. Bulaq 18, XIX.2.2-3 *imi f3.tw n n3 n rmt pr [mn^ct] nty nfr pw f3.tw n=sn m sf* ‘Cause that things be brought to these people of the House of the nurse to whom there was no bringing yesterday.’

- With the perfective V-passive used for an event yet to come:

(34) *Urk. I 224, 6 (Pepyankh-Heryib)*

iw ir hft mr~r-t=sn

AUX act\PASS.PFV according_to wish~IPFV.REL-FSG=3PL

‘There shall be acted (*lit.* it has been acted) according to what they wish.’

- With a *sdm-n-t=f* exceptionally combined with *iw*:

(35) P. Brooklyn 35.1446 pl.IV, ro 31.f

iw kn(-n)-tw

AUX complete-ANT-PASS

‘There has been completion.’

> In literary laments (e.g. above, (23)-(24), (26)): the situation as such is lamented

> In mortuary literature, also in part reflecting the performative nature of these texts

(36) Pyr. 345a-346b^{TP} (PT 264)

iw nw pn bk3 (...)

nīs-t ir N in r^c

‘When the time comes tomorrow, (...)

There will be summons to N by Re, (...)

(37) Pyr. 1473a-1474b^M (PT 572)

irw n=f in tm mr irt n=f

‘There will be acted for him by Atum like what has been done for him:

(He has fetched for you the gods who belong to the sky, he has embraced for you the gods who belong to the earth, so that they can place their arms under you and that they can make for you a ladder, and that you can climb to the sky.)’

(38) CT I 73d-74f B1P

iw h3 n=k in bik iw ng n=k in smn

iw d3 n=k^c in dhwti iw sh n=k hps n hftiw=k

iw h3 n=k in drty 3st pw hn^c nbt-hwt (...)

‘There has been screaming for you by the falcon, there has been cackling for you by the *semen*-goose;

An arm has been extended to you by Thoth, a strong arm has been struck for your enemies;

There has been screaming for you by the two kites—it is Isis and Nephtys (...)

> Priscian: ‘when I say *curritur*, I mean *cursus*’

(after Pierre-Yves Lambert, L’impersonnel, in: Jack Feuillet (ed.), *Actance et valence dans les langues de l’Europe*, Eurotyp 2, Empirical Approaches to Linguistic Typology 20.2, Berlin, 295-345, p.303.)

———**D. *N pw hr-sdm*, etc.**

(Uljas, Sami. 2007. *The Modal System of Earlier Egyptian Complement Clauses. A Study in Pragmatics in a Dead Language*, Probleme der Ägyptologie 26, Leiden, 287-307.

Vernus, Pascal. 1994. Observations sur la prédication de classe (‘Nominal Predicate’), in: *Lingua Aegyptia* 4, 336-348.

Loprieno, Antonio. 1995. *Ancient Egyptian. A Linguistic Introduction*, Cambridge, 109-112.

Uljas & Stauder, *Thetic Constructions in Earlier Egyptian*, in prep.)

A *pw* ‘It/this is A’
Clause *pw*

Various construction types, e.g.

> *INF pw*, introducing new episode in narrative (not common)

(39) *Chapelle Rouge*, p.107: III.14-15 (HHBT II 13, 5/6-7/8)

m-ht nn wd3 pw r h3

after this proceed\INF *pw* to outside

‘After this, it is proceeding to the outside.

(And Her Majesty was before her father (...))’

> *The ‘glossing construction’*: *mrr=fpw*, etc.

- *pw* referring back to a preceding segment of discourse,

- often in glosses: this in medical texts and in mortuary literatures (often etiological)

(40) P. Ebers 102, 15-16 (medical)

(‘As for “his heart is flooded”,)

mhh ib=fpw mi nty hr sh3t kt mdt

this means that his heart is oblivious like one who is thinking of something else.0

(41) P. Smith 16, 14-16 (medical)

(As for “their colouring does not sparkle”,

tm nbibiw inm=s pw

this means that their skin does not shine.’

(42) Book of the Dead 17, 108-109 Nu

(‘As for the “few ascend to them”,

wnn sm3yt swty pw hr tkn im=s

this means that the cronies of Seth were approaching her.’

(43) Book of the Dead 18, 5-6 Nu

(‘As for “guarding the rebels”,)

h3tm-n-tw sm3yt swty pw

this means that the cronies of Seth have been annihilated.’

- *mrr=f pw* (40)
- *tm=f sdm pw* (41)
- *wnn=f pw hr-sdm* (42)
- *sdm-n-t=f pw* (43)

(*mrr=f*: a form often associated with imperfective semantics and/or non-assertion)
 (*tm*: a negation associated with non-assertion)
 (*wnn*: an auxiliary itself in the *mrr=f*, to introduce progressive NP *hr-sdm*)
 (*sdm-n-t=f*: a form expressing that an accomplished event, even though passive, is not viewed from its Endpoint, that is, is not viewed as perfective)

> and other constructions (...)

Pw-marked thetic constructions

- N pw hr-sdm* (progressive)
- N pw r-sdm* (future)
- N pw PsP* (resultative; accomplished with events of direct motion)
- N pw sdm.n=f* (accomplished, with other types of event)

N pw hr-sdm ← *N hr-sdm*
N pw sdm.n=f ← *sdm.n=f*

- distinct from the above:
 - form: e.g. *N pw hr-sdm*, as differing from *wnn N pw hr-sdm*
 - environments: e.g., not as the B part of *ir A, B*
 - *pw* not anaphorically indexing, nor with any referential content (Uljas, Vernus)

- a marked topic construction?

- however:

(44) *Cheops' Court* 6.4-6

(‘Then I said to her: “Why do you not row?” And she said to me:

nḥ3w pw n mfk3t m3t hr hr mw

“A pendant of new malachite fell into the water.”’

(45) *Shipwrecked Sailor* 89-91

(‘He (*viz.* the serpent) opened his mouth while I was prostrate in front of him. Then he said to me: “Who brought you? Who brought you, young man? Who brought you to this island of the sea, with water on all sides?” ‘I answered this to him, my arms bent in from of him. I said to him:)

ink pw h3.kw r bi3 m wpwt ity (...) ‘

(What happened/The thing is that) I had gone to the mine in a mission of the Sovereign (...)

- (cf., à la Lambrecht (1994: ch.1): ‘why are you taking the bus?’ — ‘my car broke down, mi si è rotta la macchina, ...’)

> further exx. in various environments:

(46) *Khakheperreseneb* ro 10

ink pw hr nk3 {=i} m hrpt shrw hpr ht t3

‘I am pondering on what happens, on the state that is through the land.

(Changes are happening: it is not like the preceding year, a year is heavier than the other. The land is in uproar (...)’

Introducing the lament proper.

(47) *Neferti* 13a

nsw pw r tyt n rsy imny m3^c-hrw rn=f

‘(The fact is:) A king from the south is to come, Ameny, justified, by name.’

Introducing the closing, affirmative section of the composition, after the lament.

(48) *Ahmoose’s Abydos Stela for Tetisheri* 7-8 (*Urk.* IV 27, 14-15)

ink pw sh3-n=i mwt mwt=i mwt it(=i) hmt-nsw wrt mwt-nsw tti-šri m3^ct-hrw

‘I thought of the mother of my mother and the mother of my father, the great royal wife, the royal mother, Tetisheri, justified.’

Introducing a new development in narrative. Sim. e.g. Hatshepsut’s Northern Obelisk, Basis D 14 (*Urk.* IV 364, 16-17) *ink pw sndm-n=i m^ch sh3-n=i km3 wi*
‘I relaxed in the palace and I thought of the one who created me.’ *Passim*, not uncommon.

(49) CT II 280d

r^c_i pw hp-n sw_i imy-ins=f

Re *pw* encounter-ANT 3MSG the_one_in_his_wrapping

‘Voici que Râ, Celui-qui-est-dans-sa-bandelette l’approcha.’ (Vernus 1994: 339)

> Rise of the construction: cf. colloquial French: ‘C’est ma voiture qui s’est cassée’ > ...

—E. *iw*-less constructions

E.1 *Iw*-less constructions of subject-first patterns

(Uljas & Stauder, *Thetic Constructions in Earlier Egyptian*, in prep.

Vernus, Pascal. 1997. *Les parties du discours en moyen égyptie. Autopsie d’une théorie*, Cahiers de la Société d’Égyptologie de Genève 5, Genève, 24-26, 45-61.

Stauder, Andréas. 2013. *Linguistic Dating of Middle Egyptian Literary Texts*, *Lingua Aegyptia Studia Monographica* 12, Hamburg, 79-83, 365-367.)

> *T*_w: many complexly interrelated functions, among which:

- signaling that the clause is asserted of a subject
- with subject-first patterns particularly, relating the clause to preceding discourse

Sinuhe R 5-11

hsbt 30 3bd 3 3ht sw 7
ʿr ntr r 3ht=f(...)

iw hnw m sgr
ibw m gmw
rwtj wrty htmw(...)

‘Year 30, month 3 of Inundation-Season, day 7:
 Ascending of the god to his horizon (...)
 The Residence was in silence
 The hearts were in mourning
 The Great Double Gate was shut (...)’

Hammamat 110, 3-7 (expedition account, ca. 2050 BCE)

h3t n=f in ʿwt h3swt
iwt in ghst bk3t hr smt hr=s r rmtw hft-hr=s
iw irty=s hr m3 (...)

mst pw ir-n=s hr=f
iw mšʿ pn n nsw hr m33
ʿhʿ-n šʿw nhbt=s wdn.t hr=f m sbi n sdt

‘The coming of wild game down to him.
 The coming of a pregnant gazelle walking with her face toward the people in front of her.
 Her eyes were looking (...)’

She gave birth upon it (*viz.* the stone).
 The troops of the king were looking.
 And her neck was cut and placed upon it (*viz.* the stone) as a burnt-offering.

> discourse-initial:

(50) *Eloquent Peasant* B1 135-136

dd.in shty pn
h3w n ʿhʿw hr-si3t n=f
 measurer of heaps PROG-cheat for=3MSG

‘And this peasant said:
 “The measurer of heaps is cheating for himself!” ’

(51) Nubkheperre Antef’s *Coptos Decree* (D.17), 4-5

(...) *r-dd*
hn bin w3 r hpr m p3 r-pr(...)
 matter bad come\RES to occur in this temple

‘(...) thus:
 “A bad matter has come to occur in this temple (...)!” ’

> in captions:

(52) *El Bersheh* II, pl.XVI
wḥꜥw ḥr-ḥ3m [rm]w
 fishermen PROG-snare fishes
 ‘Fishermen are snaring fishes.’

- sim., under the scope of ‘presentifying’ *m33* (‘see!’):

(53) *Kheti* 7.1
ḥꜥkw ḥr-ḥꜥk m pḥwy mšrw
 barber PROG-shave in end evening
 ‘The barber is (still) shaving at the end of the evening.’

- sim., in a literary ‘prophesy’, also under the scope of ‘presentification’ (*d=i n=k* ... ‘I will give (viz. show) you ...’)

(54a) *Neferti* 14a-e
s3 n s r-irt rn=f(...)
 son of man FUT-make names=3MSG
iw ꜥ3mw r-ḥr n šꜥt=f(...)
 AUX Asiatics FUT-fall to massacre=3MSG
 ‘The son of a man will make his name (...);
 (And) the Asiatics will fall to his slaughtering (...)’

(54b) *Neferti* 15a-e
tw r-ḳd inbw ḥk3 ꜥ.w.s. (...)
 IMPRS FUT-build
iw m3ꜥt r-itt r st=s (...)
 AUX Maat FUT-come
 ‘One will build the Walls of the Ruler L.P.H. (...);
 (And) Maat will return to its place (...)’

(54c) *Neferti* 8f-9a
tw r-šsp ḥꜥw nw ꜥḥ3
 IMPRS FUT-receive
ꜥnh t3 m sh3
 live\SUBJ land
iw=tw r-irt ꜥḥ3w m bi3
 AUX=IMPRS FUT-make
dbh-tw {m} t3 <m> snfw
 ask\SUBJ-PASS
 ‘Weapons of combat will be taken up,
 the land will live in uproar.
 (And) arms will be made of copper,
 bread will be asked with blood.’

- (55) *Neferti* 7g-h
tw r-isḳ mḳkt m grḥ
tw r-ḳḳ hnrwt
tw r-snbṯ ḳdd m irty
 ‘A ladder will be waited for at night!
 Strongholds will be entered!
 Slumber in the eyes will be swept away!’

E.2 *Ti ntr* ‘Here comes the god!’

(Stauder, *Ti ntr* ‘Here comes the god!’ , in prep.

Uljas & Stauder, *Thetic Construction in Earlier Egyptian*, in prep.

Provisionally: Allen, James. 1984. *The Inflection of the Verb in the Pyramid Texts*, Bibliotheca Aegyptia 2, Malibu, §304ff.

Vernus, Pascal. 1997. *Les parties du discours en moyen égyptie. Autopsie d’une théorie*, Cahiers de la Société d’Égyptologie de Genève 5, Genève, 63-69.)

> With weather events:

- (56) Pyr. 393a-395a^{WT} (PT 273-4)
gp pt iḥy sbḳ
nmnm pdt sdḳ qs ḳkrw
gr r=sn gnmw
mḳ-n=sn NN ḥḳ bḳ
m ntr ḳnh m itw=f wšb m mwwt=f
NN pi nb zḳbwt ḥm.n mwt=f rn=f
iw špsw špsw NN m pt
iw wsr=f m ḳḥt (...)

The sky *grows* (/ has grown) cloudy, the stars *obscure* (/have obscured);
 the (sky’s) arcs *quake* (/ have quaked), the bones of the earth *shake* (have shaken);
 and those who move (/ the decans: Eyre) *grow* (/have grown) *still*,
 having seen NN, apparent and *ba*
 as the god who lives on his fathers and feeds on his mothers.

Unis is the lord of jackal-like rapacity, whose (own) mother does not know his identity:

for Unis’s nobility is in the sky
 for his power is in the Akhet, (...)

> Events of motion, of appearing into the scene

- (57) Pyr. 956a-c^P (PT 447)
ḳḳ pt nwr tḳ
i ḥr ḥḳ dḥwti
ts=sn wsit ḥr gs=f d=sn ḳḳ=f m psdti
 ‘The sky becomes dishevelled, the earth trembles
 Horus comes, Thot appears,
 That they might rise Horus from off his side and make him stand up in the Dual Ennead.’

(58) Pyr. 1799a-b^N (PT 637)

i hr mh m mdt

shn-n=f it=f wsir (...)

‘Here comes Horus, filled with oil.
He has sought his father Osiris (...)’

(59) Pyr. 17a-c^{WN} (PT 25)

z z hn^c k3=f

z hr hn^c k3=f z stš hn^c k3=f

(...)

z.t dd=k hn^c k3=k

“Someone (lit. a goer) goes with his ka!
Horus goes with his ka! Seth goes with his ka!
(...)
You too, be gone with your ka!”

> *Events*

- *sd3* ‘shake’, *nmm* ‘quake’, *nwr* ‘tremble’, *ḏ* ‘become dishevelled’ (of the sky or the earth);
also *hr sd3* ‘trembling falls’

- *iwi* ‘come’, *pri* ‘come forth’, *zi* ‘go’, *p3i* ‘fly off’, *h3i* ‘descend’, *ḥ^c* ‘stand up’, *ḥ^ci* ‘appear’,
rs ‘awake’

- *mdw* ‘speake’, *sr* ‘announce’

> *Subjects*

- always full noun

- no referential autonomy (weather events) — divine entity, newly introduced to the scene
(events of appearing, etc.)

> *Very strong propensity to be spell-initiality*

> *Formally contrasting with*

- If present tense: *Subject ii=f*

- If past tense: *Subject – Resultative* (for most events); *sdm-n=f* (for the others)

Either way, V-S pattern contrasting with regular S-V patterns
(NB: also: *iw*-less; cf. above E.1)

(*here comes the king / viene il re — squilla il telefono*)